

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,545	08/21/2003	Douglas S. Hine	P-11138.00	9714
7590 06/10/2005			EXAMINER	
Elisabeth L. Belden			ALTER, ALYSSA M	
Medronic, Inc. 7000 Central A	ve N.E.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Mailstop B408			3762	
Minneapolis, MN 55432			DATE MAIL ED: 06/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		SA				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,				
Office Action Commons	10/646,545	HINE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alyssa M. Alter	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ety filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	mmunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	ugust 2003.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		•				
Application Papers		•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(c)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/04 & 2/02/05.	5)	atent Application (PTO	-152)				

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 5 recites the limitation "of the array of lead contact elements" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pohndorf et al. (US 4,628,934). Pohndorf et al. discloses a pacemaker with a connector bore for electrically and mechanically connecting the implantable medical device (IMD) with two adaptors and multi-electrode leads as displayed in figures 6 and 7. The array of lead connector elements are displayed in figure 2 as "sleeves 151 and 152 (in contact with rings 141 and 142) (col. 7, lines 63-64)". The sleeves are connected to electrodes, with a conductor for each electrode. The two adaptors, which the examiner considers the to be a plurality of adaptors, have an inner

Art Unit: 3762

lumen for engaging the sleeves of the lead with rings to create an electrical and mechanical connection. In addition, the adaptors have an external surface used for engagement with the electrical bore. This engagement surface has two contact zones, the pin as the first zone and sleeves of the lead as the second zone, which connects within the IMD with the socket and rings, respectively.

Since the lead connectors are located circumferentially around the lead, the examiner considers the connectors to be connector rings. In addition, a connector ring is located adjacent to the sealing ring and distal to the remainder of the array of lead connectors. Therefore, since the ring conductor makes contact with the bore of the IMD by means of the adaptor, the connector ring is located distal to the array of lead connector elements and electrically connected to the IMD bore.

Within the adaptor-lead connector, there are two sealing rings. One sealing ring, as previously mentioned, is located distal to the array of connector elements located on the lead. The other sealing ring is located on the adaptor located proximal to the array of connector elements.

Outer surface of a male connector piece closely matched the inner surface of a female connector piece the connection assembly is dimensioned to be press fit.

Therefore, since the sleeves or array of lead connector elements on the cylindrical male piece closely match the rings or contact elements located within the adaptor on a cylindrical female piece, the connection assembly is press fit.

Art Unit: 3762

Although, Pohndorf et al. is silent about the adaptors conforming to industry standard, it is inherent that the adaptors do conform to an industry standard in order for them to adequately perform with an IMD.

As to claims 1, 3 and 7, It has been held that the recitation that an element is "adapted to" perform a function in not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform. It does not constitute a limitation in any patentable sense. *In re Hutchison,* 69 USPQ 138.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Pohndorf et al. (US 4,628,934) in view of Peers-Trevarton (US 4,469,104). Holleman et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the protrusions for each contact element within the array of lead contact elements. Peers-Trevarton teaches that it is known to utilize protrusions and depressions to mechanically and electrically engage the lead. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electrical connections of the lead as taught by Pohndorf et al. with the electrical and mechanical connections as taught by Peers-Trevarton since such

Art Unit: 3762

a modification would be a substitution of f known functional equivalents by substituting electrical connectors to electrically engage the lead.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. Bischoff et al. (US 5,843,141) discloses a medical lead connector system.

Art Unit: 3762

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alyssa M. Alter whose telephone number is (571) 272-4939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Myssa M Alter
Examiner
Art Unit 3762

PEFFREX R. HASTRZAE PERMARY EXAMINER

5/31/05